UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER AD836222 **NEW LIMITATION CHANGE** TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited **FROM** Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Foreign Government Information; JUL 1963. Other requests shall be referred to Department of the Army, Attn: Technical Release Branch [TID], Frederick, MD 21701. **AUTHORITY** SMUFD d/a ltr, 8 Feb 1972

translation no. P53

DATE: July 1963

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Fort Datrick Frederick, Maryland

J. 63.

LABORATORY INFECTIONS ESPECIALLY WITH TYPHOID BACILLY

Following is a translation of an article by Walther Schäfer, of the Hygiene Institute of the University of Munich (Director: Prof. Kisskelt), in the Germanlanguage periodical Archiv für Hygiene und Bakteriologie (Archive of Eygiene and Bacteriology), Vol 132, 1950, pages 15-52.

Hisskalt (3 and 4) reported on laboratory infections with typhus bacilli in 1915 and 1929 in response to an inquiry by the testing offices. In 1959 this collection was extended by Draese (2) (of the Hygiene Institute of the University of Murich) in response to another incurry. I total of 191 cases of laboratory infection with typhus bacilli were brought together in the three articles.

As a result of this survey it was shown among other things that the lathality of the cases in which the infection had demonstrably come from pure oultures was definitely higher (8 of 51 = 15.7%) than that of the cases in which the manner of infection was not really clear and therefore not only oultures but also infectious material for examination (faces, blood, etc.) must be considered as possible sources of infection (10 of 114 = 9%). In explanation of this considerable difference Kisakalt pointed out the probably larger dose of infection in the cases of culture infections. This assumption seemed to him to be confirmed by the reduction of the incubation period below 14 days in more than a third of these cases, which was in agreement with Enorm's findings (5) concerning the lengthening of the incubation period in waterborne epidemics, where the infection dosage is generally low.

The age of the atrains had no detectable influence on the course of the infection. Even strains kept for a long time on artificial nutrient media were still highly pathogonic. — No relation could be proved between pathogenicity for manishd either poisonousness to the experimental animal (virulence) or agglutinability; strains of no great virulence to animals brought about fatal illnesses and conversely after exposure to very virgient bacilli illness failed to
develop. — In some cases one and the same strain in repeated infections led to disease patterns of similar seriousness,
but in other cases the course of the second illness deviated
quite markedly from that of the first, so that such observations are inadequate for avaluating the rôle of the virulencefactor.

For more precise evaluation of protective vaccination, too, the material on hand seemed not extensive enough. Still it could be seen that of the patients that had been vaccinated fewer died than of those that had not been vaccinated.

It therefore seemed indicated to send around a new inquiry and get together additional cases of laboratory in-Tections, in order among other useful observations to study the influence of vaccination on the consequences of infection, on the basis of a body of material now grown schewhat larger. For as against ordinary cases of infection, laboratory infections in which the time of the infection is known offer the rere opportunity of pinning down the length of the incubation of period fairly exactly and hence pursuing the question of the effect of previous protective vaccination on the length of the interval before the appearance of the first symptoms of disease. The most important question as to the value of protective vaccination, - how often am infection is overcome without any disturbance of the health, - cannot of course be answered precisely with the laboratory infection material for we must recken with the fact that most such infections in the laboratory run their ocurse urnoticed. Only the subsequent onset of the disease will often enough in retrospect show up the importance of a perhaps otherwise inconspicuous "accident on the job" in dealing with bacteria cultures in its proper light. All that is left to do is to take as our starting point the clinical evaluation of the course of the disease in the cases where illness does occur, and estimate the advantage of vaccinated over unvaccinated laboratory personnel according to the verying gravity of the aspect of the disease.

In the spring of 1949 Privy Councillor Kisskelt sent out a questionnaire worded as follows to the testing offices and becteriological laboratories known to us:

1. Have you had cases of infections with pure oultures of typhus or paratyphus bavilli or other bacteria or viruses?

- 2. Was infection by a bacteria culture proved, or did other possibilities of infection exist at the same time, e.g. with infectious feces or blood (or dust and the like)?
- 3. Have laboratory infections cocurred without its being possible in retrospect to reconstruct the mode of infection, but which are nevertheless to be regarded as laboratory infections?
- 4. Age? Sex? Season of the year? Disease of the digestive system, malmutrition, or other non-specific infection (cold, or the like) prior to exposure to the bacilli?
- 5. How did the infection occur? How many hours after a meal?
- 6. Were many becilli presumably taken in? What was ...done after the infection?
- 7. How long was the incubation pariod? What was the condition of the patient up to the onset of the disease?
- 8. Ead the patient been vaccinated? How long'before? How many times?
- 9. What was the course of the disease? light? serious? ...
 Eow were the bacilli being grown? What was their agglutination titer?
- 10. Is the origin of the pure oulture well known? Was it started from feces, from the spleen, etc.? Was the oulture from a case whose clinical course (light, serious). is precisely known?
 - 11. Special pharacteristical slightly, atroughy again-
 - 12. Eow long was it on artificial nutrient medium before the infection? On what nedium? Each it been often reincoulated?
 - 13. ire other cases known to you? Who can give information about them?
 - 14. are cases known to you in which in spite of typhus benilli being taken into the mouth no illness followed?
 - 15. Do you particularly desire that the case be kept

- 16. Have other persons been inflooted from this case?
- 17. Are cases known to you of intentional criminal infection with bacteria strains? What cases?
- 18. What measures and/or regulations do you recommend for the prevention of laboratory infections?

We cordially thank our 72 colleagues who took the trouble to answer. The institutes and laboratories of the Mast Zone did not participate in answering the questionmaire.

The cases reported are classified on the following basis:

- Group 1: Date and manner of the infection known
- Group 2: Kenner of infection unexplained
- Group 3: Probable infection without resulting illness.

A. Infection with Typhus Becilli

Group 1: Incontestable Infection from Bacteria Cultures

Case 1. — Infected with blood containing typhus becilli when a cut injury occurred at a minute veir dime
1945. 4. Age 46. female, previously healthy, poorly nourished,
overworked. — 5. Two hours after breakfast. — 6. No disinfection. — 7. About 3 weeks. Occasional headsche and fatigue.
— 8. No. — 9. Of average severity; blood culture positive.
— 12. Fresh strain. — 16. The office nurse of the Infectious Diseases Department also took typhus (exapt source of
infection unknown).

Case 2. — Infected by swellowing water containing typhus becilli while uning an unstopped pipette. — 4. Age 22, femals, reduced state of nowrishment. — 6. Rinsed mouth with dilute hydrochloric soid, drank dilute hydrochloric soid and schmaps. — 7. Axectly 14 days, — 8. Vaccinated several times, the last time half a year before the infection. Had been sick with typhus once before. — 9. Medium severity, blood culture and Widal reaction positive. — 10. Imboratory strain of the Behring plant at Marburg. — 11. Readily agglutinable. — 12. Old laboratory strain. — 14. The same person had ingested benilli in the same way half a year before

- 4 -

٥

Case 3. — Infected in suching a culture up in a pipette, October 1947. — 4. ige 60, fonale, previously healthy. — 5. Two hours after breakfast. — 6. Ainsed mouth with peptin and dilute hydrochloric acid; vaccinated immediately after infection. — 7. No complaints for 9 days; went to work as usual. — 3. Decades earlier, and just after infection (of. 6). — 9. Light. Moderate fever several days. Diarrhes one time. Blood culture positive. No bacteria detectable in feces and urine. — 10. The culture was derived from feces of a person with a light case. — 12. Fresh strain.

Case 4. — Infected from cadaveric material. — 4. Age 21, female. — 7. About 10 days; headaches. — 8. No. — 9. Very severe.

Case 5. — Infected while using a pipette. — 4. ige 25, female, poorly nourished. — 5. Five hours after breakfast. — 7. Fourteen days; condition normal. — 6. Not definitely ascertainable. — 9. Fatal. — 10. No.

Case 6. — Infected while using a pipette, August 1947. — 4. Age 52, Temale, poorly nourished, otherwise in good health. — 5. Five hours after the moon meal. — 6. Oral disinfection with alcohol and dilute hydrochloric soid; svallowing of hydrochloric acid. — 7. Fourteen days; no complaints. — 8. Several times, the last time 6 weeks before infection. — 9. Very severe. — Fresh strain from blood culture.

Case 7. — Infected with a pure culture. — 4. Age 20. — 8. No. — 9. Very severe; two recurrences. — 10. No.

Case 8. — Infected in making agglutination test. —
4. ige 38, male. — 5. Two hours after breakfast. — 6. Usual disinfection. — 7. Seventeen days; no complaints. — 8. Several times, the last time half a year before infection. —
9. Very severa, with intestinal bleeding and relapse. Bacilli in feces and blood. — Strain derived from a group illness of 20 cases of moderate severity. — 11. Highly wirelent, reddily: agglutinable, — 12: Fresh.

Case 9. — Infected in setting up the Widal best; no safety pipette. Spring of 1947. — 4. Age 20, female. — 6. Oral disinfection. — 7. Eventy-one Cays. — 8. Half a

Fyear before. - 9. Light. - 10. Strain 2-3 months old, quite agglutinable.

Case 10. — Infected while using a pipette during the Widal test, spring of 1946. — 4. Age 25, female, reduced nourishment. — 5. Empty stomach. — 5. Mouth rinsing and drinking of dilute hydrochloric acid and alcohol. — 7. Slow onset after 8 days. — 8. Half a year before. — 9. Very severe. Blood culture positive. No bacilli found in feces and urine. — 10. Cultured shortly before from bile.

The incubation period in these ten cases was:

9-30 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 days

vaccinated 1 2 · 1 1 1 1 1 1

In case 5 the vaccination was "decades" before. Inmediately after the infection another vaccination was performed. After 9 untroubled days the patient became slightly
ill with no intestinal symptoms. Considering her relatively
advanced age of 60 years one is tempted to assume a counsetion between the mild course of the illness and the effect
of the protective vaccination. The relatively short incubation period of 9 days might also be thought of as a result
of vaccination, since Otto and Schkfer (6) in their enimal
experiments with Breslau infections were able to observe a
shortening of the lifetime of the animals by injections of
hilled bacteria (= vaccination) simultaneous with or shortly
after the infection.

In case 5, which ran a fatal course, there was an incubation of 14 days. Since it could not be ascertained in retrospect whether a protective vaccination had been attempted earlier, the case drops out of consideration for our problem. Likewise case 8, in which the length of the incubation period was no longer known.

Prom the <u>earlier compilations</u> of laboratory infections by our inatitute (lisekalt, Draese) 44 cases could be found in which data on incubation time and the presence or absence of a protective vaccination were available. <u>Including the above cases</u> we find the following incubation periods in the vaccinated and unvaccinated groups:

3. 13. W 3. A

Days: <u>5 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22</u>
19 vac. 1 1 1 7 2 1 2 1 2 1
52 unvacc. 1 2 1 2 2 3 2 2 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1

At first glance it is evident that in a considerable part (about half) of the unvaccinated cases the incubation period is shorter than the average figure of 13-14 days, as was emphasized earlier by Kisskalt. In the vaccinated cases, this part is considerably smaller and in most cases (about 5/6) the incubation period was 14 days and longer. (We omit to adduce any mathematical comparison factor here in consideration of the rather small number of cases.)

The dependence of the incubation time on the quantity of bacilli taken in was pointed out by Knorr (5) in connection with the drinking-water epidenic in Pforsheim. In cases of laboratory infections the infection dose must have happened in certain cases to be quite exceptionally high, but these variations affect the vaccinated and unvaccinated groups in the same way. The affect of which protective vaccination still suggests itself strongly as an explanation of the unequal incubation period. It is obvious that a vaccinated organism can sometimes deal with the invading germs longer than an unvaccinated one without loss of its health. We know from many other infectious diseases that with increasing incubation time the prognesis becomes more favorable. Perhaps in typhus, too, the lengthening of the incubation period indicates a better average prognosis for those who develop the disease in spite of having been vaccinated. — This would also fit in with the observations of Bertram (1) at the time of the Paris epidemic of 1341, when after pertaking of an infected food about 610 persons became ill. In half the cases the incubation time could be precisely learned. It was found that in general the shorter the incubation period the more severe the course of the disease.

Group 2: Infection Not Satisfactorily Observed

Case 11. — Source of infection not determined. Lunch was warred up in the steriliser, in which there was also infectious material. March 1346. — 4. Age 20. female, reduced nutrition. — 8. Several times before, the last time 2 months before infection. — 9. Case of medium severity. Bacilli in feces and blood.

Case 12. — SI (source of infection) unknown. Fall of 1945. — S. Vaccinated earlier. — 9. Medium severity? (Data

incomplete.) No bacilli found.

Case 15. — SI unknown. May 1948. — S. Several times, the last two years earlier. — 9. Atypical, but not mild. No. diarrhes.

Case 14. — SI unknown. Winter of 1947-48. — 4. Age 28, male, average state of nutrition. — 5. Last vaccination five years before. — 9. Wedium severity. Blood culture positive.

Case 15. — SI unknown. Summer of 1948. — 4. age 20, male, average state of nutrition. — 8. Last vaccination four years before. — 9. Severe. Relapse. Blood culture positive.

Case 16. — SI unknown. Received incoming material.

Summer of 1948. — 4. 1ge 50, female, average nutritional condition. — 8. Three months before. — 9. Mild. Bacilli in blood and unite:.

Case 17. — SI unknown. Winter of 1945-47. — 4. Age 22, female, average nutritional condition. — Shortly before becoming ill. — 9. Wild, uncomplicated. Bacilli in feces and blood.

Case 18. — Infected from washing inadequately disinfected test tubes that had contained feces and urine. —
4. Age 36, female, reduced nutritional condition. — 7., Headaches; after 14 days, fever. — 8. Half a year before. — 9.
Bevere relapse... Becilli found.

Gase 19. — 3I unknown. Possibility of infection outside the laboratory. September 1946. — 4. Age 57, female. — 8. Half a year before. — 9. Medium severity. Bacilli in feces.

Case 20. — 81 unknown. Like 19. October 1947. — 4. Age 22, female. — 8. Earlier and 3/4 year before. — 9. Average.

Case 21. — Infected while doing becteriological work, probably with pure cultures. Summer of 1945: — 4. Age 55, female. — 7. Mausea, hasdaches. — 8. No. — 9. Severe. — Becilli in feces, blood, and urine.

Case 22. — Like 21. Winter of 1946. — 4. Age 25, male, poor mutritional state. — 8. Barlier and shortly before illness. — 9. Average severity. Fo bacilli found.

- Case 25. Like 21. March 1946. 4. Age 28, female, poor nutritional state. 8. Unknown, probably not. 9. Blood culture positive.
- Case 24. Like 21. Summer of 1944. 4. Age 40, male: 8. Yes. 9. As memory serves, rather severe.
- Case 25. Possibly infacted while gathering bacilli from agar for regeneration. Narch 1946. 4. Age 18, female, medium nutritional state. 8. No. 9. Severe, but without complications. No bacilli found.
- Case 26. Employed in cleaning the glassware. Possibility of outside infection, however. May, 1946. 4. Age 21, female, medium nutritional state. 8. No. 9. Light, no bacilli found.
- Case 27. SI not ascertainable. Employed in setting up the Widel test. 4. Age 41, female. 8. No. 9. Severe. Becilli found.
- Case 28. SI unknown. 4. ige 38. female, good nutritional state. 8. Six years before. 9. Medium severity. No bacilli found.
- Case 29. SI unknown. Howember 1947. 4. Age 22. female. 8. Two years before. 9. Medium severity. Bacilli in blood.
- Case 30. SI unknown. Summer of 1946. 4. ige 37. female, poor nutritional state. 8. Sixteen years before. 9. Medium severity. No bacilli found.
 - Case 31. SI unknown. 4. Age 34. 8. Half a year before. 9. Medium severity. Blood oulture positive.
 - Case 32. SI unknown. 4. Age 49. 8. Half a year before. 9. Medium severity. Blood oulture positive.
 - Case 55. SI unknown. Suployed in washing glassware. 4. Age 21, female. 8. Several times, the last time three weeks before. 9. Nild. Bacilli found in blood and feces.
 - Case 34. SI unknown. Like 33. 4. Age 28, female. 8. Several times, the last time six weeks before. 9. Fatal within 10 days.
 - Case 35. Sī unknown. 1945. 4. Age 22, female. —

A de fall de sur en 1959 - de la lación de la companie de sur la compa

8. No. - 9. Mild.

Case 56. — SI unknown. 1946. — 4. Age 33, female. — 8: No. — 9. Nild.

Case 37. — SI unknown. — 4. Age 20, female. — 8. Twelve months before. — 9. Mild.

Case 38. - SI unknown. - 8. No. - 9. Severe.

Case 39. - SI unknown. - 8. Yes. - 9: Mild.

Case 40. - SI unknown. - 8. Yes. 9. Severe.

Case 41. — SI unknown. — 4. Age 28, female. — 6. 23 days? — 8. Several times, the last time three months before. — 9. Severe. Blood culture positive.

Case 42. — SI unknown. June 1948. — 4. Age 36, female, good nutritional state. — 8. Nost recently 5/4 year before. — 9. Very mild.

Case 43. — SI unknown. — 4. Age 50, female. — 7. headaches. — 8. No. — 9. Severe. Blood culture positive.

Case 44. — SI unknown. — 4. Age 25, poor nutritional state. — 7. Headaches. — 8. No. — 9. Severe. No bacilli found.

Case 45. — SI unknown. — 4. ise 25, poor nutritional state. — 7. Interus. — 8. No. — 9. Severe. No bacilli found.

Case 45. — SI unknown. — 4. Age 35, male. — 3. Yes. — 9. Medium severity.

Case 47. — SI unknown. -- 4. Age 45, female. -- 8. No. -- 9. Nedium severity.

A total of 37 cases of typhus among laboratory personnel were thus reported to us without its having been possible to find out the time or manner of infection in the respective cases. Counting in the ten cases in which the infection process was known, we have a grant total of 47 cases of laboratory infection with typhus reported to us. That nearly a third (15 persons) were unvaccinated seems to us surprisingly high for present conditions, i.e. 20 to 30 years after typhus vaccination by reducing the rate of illness during the first

World War had convincingly demonstrated its prophylactic effect, and the high rate does not seem to us quite excused by considering the difficulties of the war and post-war years. The deficient hygienic situation of many makeshift laboratories during that time should have been all the more reason for carrying out protective vaccination meticulously.

In evaluating the <u>influence of protective vaccination</u> on the course of an illness that broke out in spite of it, the data from the earlier compilations of Kisskalt and Brasse (113 cases) were also included. In connection with the attempt to classify the cases in various groups according to the appraisal of their clinical severity it hardly needs to be mentioned that in view of the heterogeneous make-up of the material the subjective factor of clinical evaluation has broad play. Nevertheless the attempt seems defensible, since this subjective factor affects both the vaccinated and unvaccinated groups approximately equally. The course of the typhus infection in the 92 unvaccinated and 66 vaccinated patients is shown in the following table:

<u> </u>	total	fatal	BEVETS	cadium	mild
within the last year	32	7	7	11,	13
more than a year before	34	Ţ	12	12	9.
unvacoinated	35	73	42	21	18

The mortality of the unvaccinated patients was somewhat higher than the usual average of 11-12%. The mortality of the vaccinated patients was definitely below this figure (2 of 66).

Among the unvaccinated the <u>disease</u> was severe in half the persons (omitting the fatal cases) (41 of 80). Among the vaccinated a severe course of the disease was reported in less than a third (19 of 64) of the cases. That the protection of vaccination declines with increasing time from the date of the <u>last vaccination</u> is also suggested in our small amount of material. The persons who regularly had a vaccination not more than a year behind them came out better than those that let several years go by. — A mild case was more frequently reported among the vaccinated than the unvaccinated.

Since our material, gathered as it was in many ways, cannot be evaluated without certain qualifications, let us abstain from drawing too great conclusions from the differences in the course of the disease as between vaccinated and unvaccinated patients. No unprejudiced person will be able to avoid the impression that besides the lower mortality the

course of the disease was elso milder on the average. As is well known, this is a question on which the opinions of the clinicians differ rather widely (cf. Schäfer (7) page 81). Part of the authors do not recognize any mitigation of the character of the disease in cases that break out in spite of vaccination. In not a few individual cases this observation may in fact not hold good; how far it may be generalized seems questionable. This uncertainty shows up, too, in the balance of our table, although it concerns only two relatively small groups of patients.

In any case there is no doubt that for the personnel of bacteriological laboratories without exception regular typhus vaccination (fill vaccine) should be required at invervals of one year at the longest. Besides the latent aborting of infections that take place, a more favorable outcome of "accidents on the job" is to be expected from this.

The limits of vaccination protection are clear from the second cases that continue to be observed occasionally, and for which there is particular opportunity among laboratory personnel because of the continuing danger of exposure. When the immunity after recovery from the disease proves good only for a limited period, then vaccins prophylaxis, too, can provide higher resistance only temporarily or to an inadequate degree. It is in this light that we should regard case 2, where after recovery from an earlier case of typhus and in the spite of repeated vaccinations the disease still recurred.

B. Infection with Paratyphus Bacilli

. I. Paratrobus A

Case 48. — Infection in all probability with a pure culture while differentiating the strain. January 1947. — 4. Age 38, female, poor nutritional state. — 7. About 8-10 days. — 8. Not vaccinated. Typhus 11 years before (also a laboratory infection. — 9. Hedium severity. No bacilli found. — 10. The culture was derived from a patient who was seriously ill. — 11. Stated to be not readily agglutinable. — 12. About two weeks on agar.

Case 49. — Infection probably while setting up the Vidal test. — Age 22. female. — 8. Yes. — 9. Mild case. Blood culture positive. There was a mixed infection with A and B. — 11. Readily agglutinable. — 12. Three to four months old.

Case 50. — Source of infection unknown. — 4. Age 55, female, poor nutritional state. — 8. Several times. Typhus 15 months before (also a laboratory case). — 9. Mild.

Case 51. — SI unknown. Winter of 1945. — 4. ige 55, male. — 8. Several times. — 9. Severa.

Case 52. — SI unknown. — 4. Age 24, female. — 6. Nothing. — 8. Mine months before. — 9. Mild. Bacilli found in feces. — 10. From a group illness in which all cases were mild. — 11. Readily agglutinable, fresh strain.

Case 53. — Infected with a pure oulture while working at strain collection. — 4. ige 25, female. — 6. Nothing. — 7. it least 10 days, perhaps longer. — 8. Several times, the last time a month before. — 9. Mild. — 10. Fairly fresh atrain (see 52).

It is interesting that two of the cases of paratyphus A infection were preceded by a typhus illness — also contracted in the laboratory — occurring in one case 11 years and in the other 1 year before (cases 43 and 50). — As in the 10 cases previously reported (Kisakalt and Draese), no fatality occurred among these 5 cases.

II. Paratyphus & Sobottmüller

Group 1: Mode of Infection Certain

Case 54. — Infected while setting up the Widel test (unstopped pipette), fall of 1941. — 4. ige 34, female. — 6. Nouth rinsing. — 8. Yes. — 9. Mild. Blood oulture positive.

Case 55. — Infected from a pure culture while using a pipette. — 4. Age 24. — 8. Yes. — 9. Kild. — 10. Strain several years old. — 11. Readily agglutinable.

Case 56. — Infected from a pure culture while using a pipette, November 1945. — 4. Age 46, female. — 8. Yes. — 9. Nild.

Case 57. — Infected from a pure oulture by swallowing while using a pipette, 1941. — 4. Age 42, female. — 5. Two hours after breakfast. — 5. Nothing. — 8. No. — 9. Mild.

Case 58. - Infected by swallowing a pure culture (rather large quantities). - Age 46, female, medium nutri-

Case 59. — Infected when a broth was spilled. -- 4.

Age 25, female, poor nutritional state. — 7. About 14 days.

-- 8. Half a year before. — 9. Hald. Bacilli were found.

Case 60. — Infected while setting up the Widel test.

(No safety pipette.) Summer of 1945. — 4. Elderly T.A.

//Frobably Technischer Assistent; technical assistant./ — 8.

No. — 9. Rather severe. — 10. Strain 2-5 months old, readily agglutinable.

Case 61. — Infected in the same way as 60. — 4. Age 19, female. — 6. Oral disinfection. — 7. About 14 days. — 8. Half a year before. — 9. Very mild. — 10. Same as 60.

Case 62. — Infected while sucking up a culture in setting up the Widel test, summer of 1945. — 4. Age 24, female. — 5. Cral disinfection. — 8. Regularly at intervals of 5/4 year. — 9. Mild. Bacilli were found.

Group 2: Mode of Infection Unclear

1.1

50.00

Cases 63 and 64. — SI unknown. — 8. Earlier. — 9. Bacilli found in feces.

Cases 65, 66, 67. — SI unimown. — S. No. — 9. Severe.

Cases 68, 69, 70. — SI unknown. — 8. Yes. — 9. Two severe, one mild.

No fatality occurred among these 17 cases of Faratyphus B Schottmiller infection.

In 7 cases the infection could be proved to have cocurred by sucking up cultures while setting up the Widel test. The same mode of infection may have been present in a considerable part of the so-called unclear cases. Of course that is basically the way things are when it comes to infections with typhus strains. Pipetting with live cultures must in the technique of bacteriological-serological typhus diagnosis be regarded as in practice the chief source of laboratory infections. This is the more difficult to understand in that here in contrast to many unnoticeable possibilities of infection (unpacking incoming material, etc.) we are dealing with a readily understood work process. The best-intentioned preventive prophylaxis (protective vaccination) does not achieve its end if it is not complemented by an equally conscientious exposure prophylaxis. Pipetting, to be sure, is unavoidable, but safety precautions can — and, the balance of our survey compals us to say, must — be taken the are capable of reducing the danger of infection. That this is possible without making the carrying out of laboratory work even in a "big business" essentially more difficult has been demonstrated. Such precautions include: 1. The use of killed cultures instead of live cultures in setting up the Widal test, and 2. the use of safety pipettes or at least closed pipettes in setting up the Grube test (serological differentiation of strains).

1. For satting up 0 agglutination a heat-killed strain (one hour at 100°C) is generally as good as a pure 0 strain.

For setting up H agglutination cultures killed with formol (0.3-0.5%, 24-hour sterility test) are as a rule as good as live cultures. The agglutinability of the test strains is hardly affected at all. In rare cases inadequate titration differences could always be re-tested with live cultures. In the overwhelming majority of cases the Widal test with formalinized cultures gives a sufficiently clear result for diagnosis. For routine serum diagnosis it may be designated as the preferred method.

2. Apart from special cases calling for nicety of discrimination, the use of formalinised cultures in the Grube test, too, schieves the purpose. When live cultures are used, working with safety pipettes or at least closed pipettes should in her own interests be as much a matter of course to the technical assistant as, say, plunging everything into a disinfectant solution afterwards. The use of rubber caps or balls is often felt cause a slowing down of the tempo or the work and should therefore be avoided as far as possible out of convenience. Trying to compel their use no longer seems promising.

It will never be possible to avoid laboratory infections entirely by precautionary measures and training, but reducing their frequency lies well within the range of possibility. We present below the proposals of several laboratory directors in response to our question about measures for preventing laboratory infections. Posting of official regulations is taken for granted.

Regular vaccinations are recommended by Hofmenn, Werner, Manasan, Wehrrig, Ernst, Gaertner, and others. — The Dersonnel should be kept in an adequate nutritional state (Zulagen).

Working with killed Widel cultures is advocated by Hofmann, Zeitlmann, Werner, Steinemann, Fahr, and others. Gearther rejects this method, since "such work would be based neither on bacteriological ability nor on biological thinking." — Safety pipettes are demanded by Werner, Reploh, Jötten, Steinemann, Locenkämper, and Others. Hass recommends rubber-capped pipettes when working with live cultures. The need of special caution in agglutination on the microscope slide is pointed out.

The necessity of strict care that nothing shall be washed that has not been sterilized in the autoclave is point I out by Hofmann, Steinemann, and others. Werner considers it necessary to admonish the technical assistants that serum is infectious too. Schnidt-Lange, Geertner, and others consider it important that agglutinations be carried out only by particularly experienced technical assistants.

Adequate laboratory spaces are called for and most laboratory infections attributed to cramped quarters (Löns, Lieberneister, Fothmann, Leonhard, R. Müller). Windows should be screened (Gaartner and others). Simmermann attaches special importance to floors without crauks (linoleum, terreso). For working with Rickettsia tightly scaled face masks are recommended (Siegert). Strict care should be taken that there is no eating and no smoking in laboratory spaces (Massen, Schütz, and others). Jötten and Gaertner remind us of the necessity of checking disinfectant solutions.

Question 17, on cases of intentional, original infections was answered as follows:

A secret notice concerning acts of sabotage discovered during the war was circulated among the consulting hygienists.

Two nurses in Kharkov are said to have become fatally ill with typhus after sating purposely infected foods (Loden-kimper). — Rusors attributed the death of a member of the Mehrmacht in Poland to an intentional infection (Seitlmenn). — In 1944 a waiter poured a broth culture of typhus bacilli in beer (Hofnann). — Probably the typhus epidemic in December 1941 among those who attend a Paris soldiers' home, in the

course of which a total of 610 persons were taken ill, was a case of this kind (Bertram, 1).

Omour 3: Infection Without Subnequent Tllness

A total of 25-50 cases were reported in which in spite of obvious contact with oultures (usually in pipetting by sucking up with the mouth) no illness occurred. Typhus, paratyphus A and B, Breslau, and dysentery bacilli are represented among these cases. The information about whether the persons had been vaccinated is too incomplete to evaluate the material from that standpoint. Undoubtedly the frequency of such instances of infection is considerably higher still. A part of these cases are kept secret "when everything turns out all right," and in some other cases the occurrence is not even noticed.

How troublesome the limited pathogenicity can sometimes be is shown by a case in which a twenty-year-old because of misfortune in love drank the rinsings from a Schottmüller oulture, in consequence of this suicids attempt was put into the paychiatric clinic and then transferred to the infectious discase section, and in the end did not get sick at all (rejorted by Jötten).

C. Other Laboratory Infections

I. Swine Ervsipeles

Case 1. — The infection occurred in mopping up a spilled culture after cutting a finger with a splinter of glass. — 4. Age 29, female. — 5. Disinfection of the wound. — 7. Twenty-four hours; no complaints or distress. — 8. No. — 9. Mild. Well after three days (immune serum). Bacilli found. — 12. Four-month-old strain.

Case 2. - Infected by a wound during dissection. - 4. Age 37, male. - 7. Twenty-four hours. - 8. No. -- 9. Mild.

Case 3. -- Infected in dissecting a hog after injuring a finger. -- 6. Swelling on the third day. -- 8. No. -- 9. Mild.

II. Bann's Disease

Probably infected while doing dissection. — 4. Age 35, male. — 7. About 8 days; fatigue. — 9. Severe. Recovery after 5 weeks. Vaccine therapy.

III: Enteritie Breeley

Infected by accidentally sucking up rinse water from a bacilli culture. Spring of 1937. — 4. Age 33, female. — 5. Three hours after a meel. — 5. Oral disinfection. — 7. Three days. No complaints. — 8. No. — 9. Medium; diarrhee and chills. — 10. Fresh strain, readily agglutinable.

IV. Spotted Fever

Case 1. -- Infected in incoulating a chicken embryo. 1939. -- 4. Age 24. -- 7. About 8 days. -- 8. Yes. -- 9. Kedium severity.

Case 2. — Possible infection by dust (sninal caretaker). 1945. — 4. ige 33. — 8. Yes. — 9. Medium severity.

Bieling reported 17 cases (written communication). Fifteen of these were infected from cultures and two by lice.

25-30 cases of laboratory infections have occurred at the Lemberg institute (Haas). A report on 7 cases in a group illness from spotted fever among hospital imployees who had infected themselves in the laboratory is to be found in A. Wo. probably Arstliche Wohnschrift; Doctor's Weekly/ 1947, 'page 441 (Hormann). In some of these cases droplet infection / Interfedential fection came about through louse bites.

Other cases of laboratory infections are reported by Eyer et al. (Zeitschrift für Evgiene (Journal of Eygiene), Vol 122, 1940, page 702), Ciuca and Ionescu-Mihaesti, Löffler and Mooser (Schweiserische Medicinische Wochenschrift (Swiss Medical Weekly), 1942; page 755), and others.

V. O Fever (Balkan Influenca)

The technical assistant was working with blood samples from patients suffering with Balkan influence. The infection may have taken place when a minute vein was opened by the prick of a needle. — 7. Twelve days. — 9. Diagnosis unsure. Typhus was thought of, but there was neither bacteriological nor serological support for this.

Detailed data on a group illness from Q fever in the laboratory are given by Nauk and Yeyer in DMV /Deutsche Medizinische Wochanschrift; German Medical Weekly/, 1949, page

198 (where a bibliography on other cases is to be found). There were 6 certain and 5 undetermined cases. Two persons were engaged in working up the strains and one person fed the infected lice. The others attacked lived in the same barracks but had nothing to do directly with the Rickettsia laboratory. Cockroaches were the only possible — and very unlikely — insect carriers. The danger of laboratory infection in working with R. burneti is described as extracrimatily great (high resistance in the dried state). The illnesses for the most part occurred only after a long period of working in the laboratory.

Seven cases occurred during the winter of 1948/49 at the Paul Ehrlich Institute at Frankfurt. Four persons were engaged in growing Rickettsia in chicken cultures and animal passages. In the case of the other three, dust infection is assumed. — 4. Two to three weeks; in one case exactly 27 days. — 8. No. — 9. Two severe, 2 medium, 5 mild. — 11. Considerable pathogenicity in the guines pig. — 12. About four weeks in animal passages.

VI. Volhynia Fever

. Two infections while feeting lice (reported by Bie- not)... ling). — Hase observed about 100 cases at the Lemberg Institute.

Rickettsia infections are often practically unavoidable in the laboratory. They seem to occur still more frequently than infections with typhus and paratyphus bacilli. The additional possibility of transmission by the inhalation of infectious dust apparently plays a considerable part.

VII. Yellow Fever

Bieling mentions two probable cases resulting from infection with strain 17 D.

YIII. Spidemio Hepetitia

Ten cases are reported. One of these died of an acute yellow atrophy of the liver.

IX. Pield Pever

Infected with a pure culture while immunising rats.

Spring of 1947. — 4. Age 55, male. — 7. About 14 days. —

X. Tuberculosis

Case 1. — SI unknown. There was a possibility of infection with material for analysis. — 4. Age 25, female. — 9. Began with pleurisy. After 1/2 year, tuberculosis of the genital organs. Bacilli found in menatrual blood and by animal experimentation.

Case 2. — SI unknown. Yorked with tuberculous material. — 4. Age 30, female. — 9. Bacilli in sputum. Recovery after one year.

Case 5. — SI unknown. — 4. lge 64, fem. — 9. Mild.

Case 4. — SI unknown. — 4. ige 51, male. — 9. Bacilli in sputum. Recovery efter one year.

Case 5. - SI unknown. - 4. Age 25, male. - 9. Mild.

II. Dinhtheria

Four cases, evidently infected by the same becilli carrier, who was employed in the laboratory. -- 9. Three ill-nesses of medium severity, one mild. Strain of Type II in all cases.

Case 6. — SI unknown. — 4. Age 26, female. — 9. Severe. Type gravis.

Case 7. - SI unknown. - 4. ige 20, female. - Mild.

III. Prograneus

In consequence of a laboratory infection a pycoyaneus sepsis developed of which the patient died. (Reported by Schmidt-Lange.)

XIII. Inpine Ill

Two cases are reported by Mieling in Minische Kochenschrift (Clinical Weekly), Vol 18, 1937, page 632 and Deutsche Archiv für Minische Hedizin (German Archives of Clinical Medicine), Vol 182, 1938, page 451.

A case of laboratory infection with the Lansing strain,

which ran a peculiar course, is described in detail by Beller in! <u>Rentral blatt fur Bektoriologie</u> (Central Journal of Bacteriology), I, Vol 155, 1949, page 269.

Sunmary

In answer to general inquiries made at bacteriological laboratories, numerous reports have been received regarding infections of laboratory personnel during the past years (altogether 200 cases). While in the past racteria eberthella tythi and salmonella were the cause of most laboratory infections, Ricketsiaceae are now numerically prevailing wherever work is done with them:

Approximately seventy new cases in which infections were caused by bacteria eberthells typhi and salmonella have become known to us. In comparing the progress of infection of vaccinated individuals with that of unvaccinated individuals the cases previously published by Kisskelt and Draese have been included. As far as a survey of 156 cases permits of any conclusion, the incubation period is longer with vaccinated persons while at the same time the illness itself is less severe and lethality less frequent.

In order to reduce the probability of infection of laboratory personnel it is recommended among other suggestions that killed organisms be used when making the Midaltest. The use of safety pipettes is also recommended once more. ()

Bibliography

- 1. Bertren, Deutsche Nedizinische Mochenschrift (Germen Medical Weekly), 1947, page 32.
- 2. Dresse, Archiv für Hygiene (Archives of Hygiene), Vol 121, 1939, page 232.
- 3. Kisekelt, <u>Seitsobrift für Hygiene</u> (Journal of Hygiene), Vol 80, 1915, page 145.
- 4. Eisskelt, Archiv Tur Hysiens, Vol 101, 1929, page
- 5. Enorr, Minghener Redistrische Mochenschrift (Munich Medical Weekly), 1928, page 255, and <u>irohiv für Hyriane</u>, Vol. 107, 1952, page 186.
- 6. Otto and Schkfer, Arbeiten aus der Staatlichen In-

Spever Haus (Publications of the State Institute for Medical and Experimental Therapy and Georg Speyer House), No 37, 1939.

7. Schiffer, Beitrike zur Seuchenbokknotung (Contributions to the Combating of Infectious Diseases), No. 3, Stutt-Gart, 1948, Hippokrates Verlag.

5588 CSO: 2-3293-D/b